

Identification of novel potential anti-quorum sensing molecules against LasR of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using *in silico* approach

Vivek Kumar¹, Anmol Srivastava¹, Deepmala Sharma², Vishnu Agarwal^{1*}

¹Department of Biotechnology, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad (Prayagraj), India. ²Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Raipur, Raipur, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received on: May 18, 2024 Accepted on: September 24, 2024 Available Online: November 15, 2024

Key words: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, quorum sensing, LasR, ADME

ABSTRACT

Quorum sensing (QS), commonly known as inter-and intracellular communication in bacteria, is accomplished by small, diffusible signaling molecules termed as autoinducers. Numerous virulence factors that are involved in pathogenesis are regulated by QS. It has come to attention as a result of the growing resistance of bacteria to conventional antibiotics, as it applies less to no selective pressure to limit the development of resistance among bacteria. For inhibitors, LasR, a transcription factor that regulates QS in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, is a promising therapeutic target. Hence, the objective of this study is to identify possible LasR inhibitors from natural substances. Virtually 2068 ZINC database compounds were tested against the LasR structure. Following the filtering of suitable compounds using Lipinski's rule and ADME criteria. Depending on binding energy, eight novel potential QS inhibitory agents were identified. To determine how inhibitors and targets bind, structures of LasR-ligand complexes were examined. It is important to note that all of the compounds are structurally distinct from $3-\infty$ -Cr₁₂-HSL, a native autoinducer of LasR, which is essential for the activation of LasR dimer that regulates QS system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. These inhibitors are natural in origin and hence can be very useful in treating *Pseudomonas aireginosa* infection; however, we have to properly evaluate their effectiveness, safety, and mode of action, through *in vivo* and *in vitro* investigation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The transcriptional control of various genes required for developing and maintaining bacteria-host relationships depends on bacterial quorum sensing (QS) [1]. It is the capacity of gene regulation to recognize and react to cell population density. QS, for instance, allows bacteria to control the expression of particular genes to the high densities of cells where the associated phenotypes will be most advantageous [2]. Bacteria use acyl-homoserine lactones (Gram-negative bacteria), peptides (Gram-positive bacteria), and Furanosyl borate diester as QS signaling molecules. These molecules are called as autoinducers [3].

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a Gram-negative, rod-shaped, encapsulated bacterium that causes opportunistic infections and frequently infects immunocompromised individuals who are already suffering from serious diseases, most notably cystic fibrosis and severe

*Corresponding Author

Vishnu Agarwal, Department of Biotechnology, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad (Prayagraj), India. E-mail: vishnua@mnnit.ac.in burns [4]. The regulation of pathogenicity, virulence, and development of biofilm is greatly influenced by QS [5]. There are mainly four types of QS systems found in P. aeruginosa, las, rhl, Pseudomonas quinolone signal (PQS), and integrated QS which together form an interconnected network [6,7]. Out of these four QS systems, the Las system was found to be the most significant in controlling the QS system. LasI and LasR are the two main components of the Las system. LasI is responsible for producing 3-oxo-C12-HSL which acts as an autoinducer molecule. 3-oxo-C₁₂-HSL binds to LasR and triggers the transcriptional control of numerous genes [7,8]. LasR is the main protein that controls various virulence factors, such as the formation of biofilm and the production of virulence factors such as pyocyanin, proteases, exotoxins, and rhamnolipids [9-11]. Structurally, at the C terminal of LasR, a DNA binding domain is found, whereas, a ligand binding domain is present at the amino-terminal [12,13]. When 3-oxo-C12-HSL binds to the LasR, its monomeric form dimerizes to form two LasR subunits after the stabilization of LasR by 3-oxo-C₁₂-HSL. As a result, this dimeric form of LasR binds to DNA and activates the cascade of virulence factors [14,15]. Therefore, LasR plays a crucial role in QS associated pathogenicity of P. aeruginosa.

Over the years, several methods have been tried to find a potent inhibitor having anti-QS and anti-biofilm activity against *P. aeruginosa*.

^{© 2025} Vivek Kumar *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlike Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

Initially, the biosensor was used to identify the potential Quorum Sensing Inhibitor (QSI), and these biosensors have QS-regulated promotors linked to a receptor gene such as lacZ, lux, and gfp [16–18]. Although various QSI were identified by biosensor systems, there is the possibility that they may target other regulators that can positively impact the QS system and, thereby, activate the virulence factors [19]. Another approach is a computer-based method for the identification of potential QSI. It can be more precise and reduce the risk associated with biosensor systems [19]. The compounds identified by *in silico* methodologies can be checked for their efficacies by molecular docking and dynamic simulation [20]. As a result, the chances of rejection of these compounds are relatively reduced in clinical trials.

In this study, we have screened an entire library of phytochemical compounds obtained from the Zinc database for their anti-QS potential against *P. aeruginosa*. The transcriptional regulator LasR was selected as a target due to its vital role in the QS system. The pharmacokinetic properties of the QSIs with substantially higher docking scores were chosen and examined.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Preparation of Protein

The 3D structure of LasR, having a resolution of 1.4 A was obtained from the Protein Data base (ID 3ix3). The 3D structure obtained from Protein Data Bank cannot be used for docking because it contains native ligands, heteroatoms, and water molecules. Hence before performing docking analysis, heteroatoms and their native ligand, along with water molecules are removed, and hydrogen is added.

2.2. Preparation of Ligand

A phytochemical library of 2,068 natural compounds was selected from the ZINC library, and we have ensured that these compounds are commercially available [21]. The structural coordinates of the compounds were obtained from the database in .Sdf format [22]. Now open Babel software was used to convert these compounds from 2D to 3D form.

2.3. Virtual Screening

We have used PyRx software for virtual screening [23] because it contains both autodock [24] and autodock vina [25]. PyRX scoring system used the Lamarckian genetic method to dock different compounds to LasR. In this present study, we have used Autodock for molecular docking. The grid box was formed using dimensions X: 33.87, Y: 46.69, and Z: 54.92 A⁰ with active side residue. To simulate biological conditions, docking was carried out in the presence of neutralizing ions and water molecules. After docking, binding energy was used to rank all the molecules.

2.4. ADME and Toxicity Studies

To determine drug safety, an ADMET study was performed using SWISS ADME. Before this analysis, the ligands were selected based on their molecular weight, number of hydrogen bond donors, and Lipinski rule of five [26–29]. With the help of Swiss ADME, the molecules were analyzed for their various pharmaceutical properties, such as GI adsorption and BBB permeability. After this Lazar toxicity module was applied for further screening of molecules for their toxicity properties [30].

Table 1. Selected molecules with their respective ZINC ID and ADME properties.

Molecule	Mol wt.	Donor HB	Acceptor HB	BBB permenat	GI absorption	p-gp substrate	CYP1A2 inhibitor	CYP2C1 9 inhibitor	CYP2C9 inhibitor	CYP2D6 inhibitor	CYP3A4 inhibitor	LogKp
3-Oxo-C12- HSL	297.39	1	4	Yes	High	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	-5.2
ZINC3873071	242.27	1	3	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	-5.76
ZINC3983953	254.33	2	2	Yes	High	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-6.32
ZINC13340245	274.31	2	4	Yes	High	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-5.82
ZINC34564537	234.33	1	2	Yes	High	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	-5.07
ZINC4632115	174.15	1	3	Yes	High	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	-6.38
ZINC393724	206.24	0	3	Yes	High	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	-5.3
ZINC6787	228.24	3	3	Yes	High	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	-5.47
ZINC1650576	294.34	0	3	Yes	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-5.02

Table 1 continues

Molecule	Lipinski Rule violation	Carcinogenicity rodents	Carcinogenicity (Rat)	Carcinogenicity (mouse)	Solubility in water
3-Oxo-C12-HSL	Yes; 0 violation				
ZINC3873071	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble
ZINC3983953	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble
ZINC13340245	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble
ZINC34564537	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble
ZINC4632115	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble
ZINC393724	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble
ZINC6787	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble
ZINC1650576	Yes; 0 violation	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Soluble

S.no.	Molecule	Binding energy (kcal/mol)	No. of hydrogen bonds	Residue Involved in H bonding		
1	ZINC3873071	-10.3	3	Residue Trp60, Trp88 and Ser129		
2	ZINC3983953	-9.3	3	Arg61, Asp65 and Thr75		
3	ZINC13340245	-9.5	3	Asp65, Thr75 and Thr115		
4	ZINC34564537	-8.6	2	Asp73 and Tyr56		
5	ZINC4632115	-8.4	3	Trp60, Trp88 and Ser129		
6	ZINC393724	-8.4	2	Trp60, Trp88 and Ser129.		
7	ZINC6787	-9.1	2	Tyr93 and Leu110		
8.	ZINC1650576	-10.7	2	Trp88 and Ser129		
9.	Native ligand	-6.5	4	Ser129, Thr56, Asp73 and Trp60		

 Table 2. Screened molecules with their binding energy, number of hydrogen bonds formed and residue involved in hydrogen bonding.

2.5. Molecular Docking

Only those ligands were picked for docking studies against active sites of LasR that have passed the ADME and toxicity filters to determine the optical inhibitor among all the screened molecules. For this, we have used Autodock4 software. Again, the grid box was used to define the protein's active site. Lamarckian genetic algorithm was used to dock the into the receptor's grid. Binding energy was calculated by the following equation:

$$\Delta G = (V_{bound}^{L-L} - V_{unbound}^{L-L}) + (V_{bound}^{P-P} - V_{unbound}^{P-P}) + (V_{bound}^{P-L} - V_{unbound}^{P-L} + \Delta S_{conf})$$

Here, P = protein, L = ligand, V = pairwise evaluations, and $\Delta S_{conf} = loss of conformational entropy upon binding.$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, four different filters were utilized to identify the most efficient QSI. First, we have PyRx as the primary filter. After this, we selected only those molecules for secondary screening with binding energy lesser than -8. Molecules having lesser energy than -8 were screened by Lipinski's rule of five, which acts as our secondary filter. Then, the molecules were selected based on the H bond donor and acceptor, and finally, all the molecules were screened based on their ADME and toxicity analysis.

3.1. Virtual Screening

PyRx was used for the secondary screening of molecules obtained from the Zinc library. A total of 599 molecules were taken for further study as they have binding energy lesser than -8. The native ligand of LasR binds to the active site of its receptor protein with a binding energy of -6.5, so we selected only those molecules with binding energy less than -8. Hence, they show effective binding with LasR.

3.2. ADME and Toxicity Filters

457 compounds passed from Lipinski's rule of five, but only 274 compounds were further selected based on their number of hydrogen bonds. Molecules with unfavorable hydrogen bonds were not taken for further consideration. After this, Swiss ADME software was used for the ADME studies, molecules were sorted based on their gastrointestinal absorption, blood-brain barrier permeability, and skin permeation. A total of 53 molecules were selected based on the ADME filter. Now, all the molecules were subjected to toxicity studies, and only eight were found safe in their toxicity profile. Table 1 shows all the molecules with their ZINC ID and other properties.

To understand the molecular interaction, we have again docked these molecules against the active site of LasR.

3.3. Molecular Docking

ZINC3873071, ZINC3983953, ZINC13340245, ZINC34564537, ZINC4632115, ZINC393724 ZINC6787, and ZINC1650576 and were selected for the final study. Native ligand of LasR, i.e., $3-\infty$ -C₁₂HSL was also docked against its active site. The binding energy of $3-\infty$ -C₁₂HSL to LasR was reported to be -6.5. Table 2 shows the selected molecules with their binding energy, number of hydrogen bonds, and residue involved in binding.

3.4. Analysis of Interactions

Figure 1A and B shows the binding of ZINC3873071 to protein 3ix3. Here, protein-ligand complex is stabilized by three interactions that involve hydrogen bonds, alkyl, and Van der Waals interaction with a binding energy of -10.3. Residue Trp60, Trp88, and Ser129 are involved in hydrogen bonding. The interaction between 3ix3 and ZINC3983953 is stabilized by the formation of three hydrogen bonds between the ligand and Arg61, Asp65, and Thr75 of the receptor molecule with a binding energy of -9.3 (Fig. 2). ZINC13340245 interacts with protein 3ix3 with three strong hydrogen bonds. The residues involved in the hydrogen bond formation are Asp65, Thr75, and Thr115 with a binding energy of -9.5 (Fig. 3A and B). Figure 4A and B shows the interaction of ZINC34564537 to protein 3ix3. The binding energy of this interaction was found to be -8.6 and two hydrogen bonds stabilized the interaction. ZINC4632115 was docked against protein LasR with a binding energy of -8.4. This binding was stabilized by three hydrogen bonds and alkyl interactions (Fig. 5A and B). Protein 3ix3 and ZINC393724 molecules interact with each other, and the binding energy of this interaction was found to be -8.4 (Fig. 6).

Two hydrogen bonds formed between ZINC6787 and protein 3ix3 for its stabilization. Figure 7A and B shows that the Tyr93 and Leu110 of receptor protein are involved in hydrogen bonding with a binding energy of -9.1. ZINC1650576 and protein were stabilized by two hydrogen bonds with a binding energy of -10.7, and Trp88 and Ser129 residues are involved in the hydrogen bond formation between ligand and receptor (Fig. 8). Figure 9A and B shows the interaction between



Figure 1. A and B show the 2D and 3D interaction between molecule ZINC3873071 and protein 3ix3, respectively.



Figure 2. 2D (A) and 3D (B) splots demonstrating the interaction of ligand ZINC3983953 with the active site of receptor protein (3ix3) and interaction was stabilized by three H-Bonds.



Figure 3. 2D plot showing the interaction of ligand ZINC13340245 and 3ix3 (A) and 3D plot demonstrating that Asp65, Thr75, and Thr115 are involved in Hydrogen bonding (B). The binding was also stabilized by alkyl and van der Waals interaction.

LasR and its native ligand 3-oxo-C₁₂-HSL. The binding energy of this interaction was found to be -7.5 and the interaction was stabilized by four hydrogen bonds formed between Tyr56, Trp60, Asp73, and Ser129 with the ligand.



Figure 4. 2D and 3D plot depicting the interaction between ligand ZINC34564537 and its receptor. This binding was stabilized by two strong H bond, alkyl interaction and van der Waals interaction. Asp73 and Tyr56 residue of receptor protein involved in the hydrogen bonding with ligand.



Figure 5. (A) shows the 2D plot demonstrating the interaction of ligand ZINC4632115 and receptor molecule while (B) shows the 3D plot of the same interaction. Here, three residues of the receptor molecule, i.e., Trp60, Trp88, and Ser129 are involved in the hydrogen bonding with the ligand molecule.

The native ligand 3 oxo binds with the lasR with four hydrogen bonds. These hydrogen bonds are formed between Ser129, Thr56, Asp73, and Trp60 at the receptor's active site. We also found that Tyr and Ser are the two most common residues of the receptor, which are involved with almost all of the docked ligands. When we compared the binding energy of all the docked molecules, we found that it was better than its native ligand 3-oxo-C₁₂-HSL. We have found that the molecular weight of ZINC 18847036 (268.26), ZINC13340245 (274.31), and ZINC 1650576 (294.34) was almost similar to that of 3-oxo-C12-HSL (268.5). Here, in this study, we report that all these molecules have different structures from that of native signaling molecules; hence, they can be a promising candidate for the synthesis of novel drugs. LasR inhibitors have been discovered by several groups using computer-based drug designing. Due to this, it is possible to identify novel LasR inhibitors that can reduce the virulence pathogenicity and resistance of the infection without significantly affecting the growth kinetics of bacteria.

Xu *et al.* [31] screened 2,030 natural compounds against coronavirus (COVID-19) based on molecular docking and then studied the six closest analogues for their activity against coronavirus. In 2021 Vetrivel *et al.* [6] performed high throughput virtual screening of Schrödinger small molecules database. They took 12 best hits, and out of these



Figure 6. 2D (A) and 3D (B) plot showing the interaction between ligand ZINC393724 and active site of protein. The binding was stabilized by three Hydrogen bond and residue involved are Trp60, Trp88, and Ser129.



Figure 7. 2D and 3D plot showing the interaction between ZINC6787 and protein 3ix3. Besides two hydrogen bonds this interaction was also stabilized by alkyl and van der Waals interactions.



Figure 8. (A) shows the 2D plot while (B) shows the 3D plot of interaction between ligand ZINC1650576 and protein. The binding was stabilized by two strong hydrogen bonds.

12, they found three molecules that may serve as quorum-sensing inhibitors against *P. aeruginosa*. Annapoorani *et al.* [32] also screened 1,920 natural compounds against *P. aeruginosa*; four compounds were found to be very effective against it. Baicalein (Supplementry figure1) a known inhibitor of QS in *P. aeruginosa*, is also a natural compound that was discovered by Zeng *et al.* [33].



Figure 9. 2D and 3D plot demonstrating the binding pattern of the native ligand of LasR with the protein 3ix3. Here, total four hydrogen were formed between ligand and protein to stabilize the interaction. The green dot represents the hydrogen bonding.



Supplementary Figure 1. 2D and 3D plot demonstrating the binding pattern of the Baicalein with the protein 3ix3. Here, total four hydrogen were formed between ligand and protein to stabilize the interaction. The green dot represents the hydrogen bonding.

4. CONCLUSION

Prevention of bacterial infection is severely hampered by biofilm formation, and the situation becomes even more adverse when the patient is suffering from Urinary tract infection, cystic fibrosis, or burn injury. Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic pathogen that is a leading cause of hospital-acquired infections. In the pathogenesis of P. aeruginosa, LasR plays a very significant role as a transcription factor as it controls the cascade of virulence genes. One of the significant attributes of P. aeruginosa is biofilm formation (governed by lasR) in the disease condition. Due to biofilm formation, there is an enhanced multidrug resistance to antibiotics because the membrane permeability of the antibiotics is significantly reduced. The current investigation aimed to find the new QS inhibitors. To accomplish this task, we have screened a phytochemical library containing 599 compounds from the ZINC database against the master regulator of QS, which is LasR. After docking, we were able to identify eight potential molecules that show anti-QS activity against P. aeruginosa. These molecules were further screened for their drug-like properties, which revealed their drug likeness. Being of natural origin, these molecules open the door

for developing possibly safe and affordable QSI as therapeutics. The screened compounds need to be validated through *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies following the computational methods.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank the Department of Science and Technology, Department of Biotechnology, MANNIT, Allahabad for providing all the necessary facilities required for the study.

6. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; agreed to submit to the current journal; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All the authors are eligible to be an author as per the international committee of medical journal editors (ICMJE) requirements/guidelines.

7. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest.

8. FUNDING

This work was funded by Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) through AMR/ADHOC/184/2019-ECD-II.

9. ETHICAL APPROVALS

This article does not contain any studies related with human or animal subjects.

10. DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting this study is available within the manuscript.

11. PUBLISHER'S NOTE

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

12. USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGY

The authors declares that they have not used artificial intelligence (AI)-tools for writing and editing of the manuscript, and no images were manipulated using AI.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lupp C, Urbanowski M, Greenberg EP, Ruby EG. The *Vibrio fischeri* quorum-sensing systems ain and lux sequentially induce luminescence gene expression and are important for persistence in the squid host. Mol Microbiol 2003;50(1):319–31.
- Fuqua WC, Winans SC, Greenberg EP. Quorum sensing in bacteria: the LuxR-LuxI family of cell density-responsive transcriptional regulators. J Bacteriol 1994;176(2):269–75.
- Davies DG, Parsek MR, Pearson JP, Iglewski BH, Costerton JW, Greenberg EP. The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm. Science 1998;280(5361):295–8.

- Diggle SP, Whiteley M. Microbe profile: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: opportunistic pathogen and lab rat. Microbiology (Reading) 2020;166(1):30–3.
- 5. Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Greenberg EP. Regulation of gene expression by cell-to-cell communication: acyl-homoserine lactone quorum sensing. Annu Rev Genet 2001;35:439–68.
- Vetrivel A, Natchimuthu S, Subramanian V, Murugesan R. Highthroughput virtual screening for a new class of antagonist targeting LasR of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. ACS Omega 2021;6(28):18314– 24.
- Lee K, Yoon SS. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm, a programmed bacterial life for fitness. J Microbiol Biotechnol 2017;27(6):1053– 64.
- Li Z, Nair SK. Quorum sensing: how bacteria can coordinate activity and synchronize their response to external signals? Protein Sci 2012;21(10):1403–17.
- Asfahl KL, Schuster M. Additive effects of quorum sensing anti-activators on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence traits and transcriptome. Front Microbiol 2017;8:2654.
- Welsh MA, Blackwell HE. Chemical probes of quorum sensing: from compound development to biological discovery. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2016;40(5):774–94.
- Dekimpe V, Déziel E. Revisiting the quorum-sensing hierarchy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the transcriptional regulator RhlR regulates LasR-specific factors. Microbiology (Reading) 2009;155:712–23.
- Zou Y, Nair SK. Molecular basis for the recognition of structurally distinct autoinducer mimics by the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasR quorum-sensing signaling receptor. Chem Biol 2009;16(9):961–70.
- Bottomley MJ, Muraglia E, Bazzo R, Carfi A. Molecular insights into quorum sensing in the human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from the structure of the virulence regulator LasR bound to its autoinducer. J Biol Chem 2007;282(18):13592–600.
- Papenfort K, Bassler BL. Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016;14(9):576–88.
- Churchill ME, Chen L. Structural basis of acyl-homoserine lactonedependent signaling. Chem Rev 2011;111(1):68–85.
- Fletcher MP, Diggle SP, Cámara M, Williams P. Biosensor-based assays for PQS, HHQ and related 2-alkyl-4-quinolone quorum sensing signal molecules. Nat Protoc 2007;2(5):1254–62.
- Müh U, Schuster M, Heim R, Singh A, Olson ER, Greenberg EP. Novel *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing inhibitors identified in an ultra-high-throughput screen. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006;50(11):3674–9.
- Rasmussen TB, Bjarnsholt T, Skindersoe ME, Hentzer M, Kristoffersen P, Köte M, *et al.* Screening for quorum-sensing inhibitors (QSI) by use of a novel genetic system, the QSI selector. J Bacteriol 2005;187(5):1799–814.
- Tan SY, Chua SL, Chen Y, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S, Nielsen TE, et al. Identification of five structurally unrelated quorum-sensing inhibitors of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from a natural-derivative database. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57(11):5629–41.
- Lokhande KB, Nagar S, Swamy KV. Molecular interaction studies of Deguelin and its derivatives with Cyclin D1 and Cyclin E in cancer cell signaling pathway: the computational approach. Sci Rep 2019;9(1):1778.
- Irwin JJ, Shoichet BK. ZINC--a free database of commercially available compounds for virtual screening. J Chem Inf Model 2005;45(1):177–82.
- Kalia M, Singh PK, Yadav VK, Yadav BS, Sharma D, Narvi SS, *et al.* Structure based virtual screening for identification of potential quorum sensing inhibitors against LasR master regulator in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microb Pathog 2017;107:136–43.
- Dallakyan S, Olson AJ. Small-molecule library screening by docking with PyRx. Methods Mol Biol 2015;1263:243–50.
- Morris GM, Huey R, Olson AJ. Using AutoDock for ligand-receptor docking. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 2008;Chapter 8:Unit 8.14.

- Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J Comput Chem 2010;31(2):455–61.
- 26. Lipinski CA. Lead-and drug-like compounds: the rule-of-five revolution. Drug Discov Today Technol 2004;1(4):337–41.
- Jayaram B, Singh T, Mukherjee G, Mathur A, Shekhar S, Shekhar V. Sanjeevini: a freely accessible web-server for target directed lead molecule discovery. BMC Bioinformatics 2012;13(Suppl 17):S7.
- Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V. iLOGP: a simple, robust, and efficient description of n-octanol/water partition coefficient for drug design using the GB/SA approach. J Chem Inf Model 2014;54(12):3284– 301.
- 29. Daina A, Zoete V. A BOILED-Egg to predict gastrointestinal absorption and brain penetration of small molecules. ChemMedChem 2016;11(11):1117–21.
- Maunz A. Gütlein M, Rautenberg M. Vorgrimmler D, Gebele D, Helma C. lazar: a modular predictive toxicology framework. Front Pharmacol 2013;4:38.
- Xu Z, Yang L, Zhang X, Zhang Q, Yang Z, Liu Y, *et al.* Discovery of potential flavonoid inhibitors against COVID-19 3CL proteinase based on virtual screening strategy. Front Mol Biosci 2020;7:556481.

- 32. Annapoorani A, Umamageswaran V, Parameswari R, Pandian SK, Ravi AV. Computational discovery of putative quorum sensing inhibitors against LasR and RhlR receptor proteins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Comput Aided Mol Des 2012;26(9):1067–77.
- Zeng Z, Qian L, Cao L, Tan H, Huang Y, Xue X, *et al.* Virtual screening for novel quorum sensing inhibitors to eradicate biofilm formation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2008;79(1):119–26.

How to cite this article:

Kumar V, Srivastava A, Sharma D, Agarwal V. Identification of novel potential anti-quorum sensing molecules against LasR of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using *in silico* approach. J Appl Biol Biotech 2025; 13(1):177–183. DOI: 10.7324/JABB.2024.169848