

Essential oils as green controllers of the cotton pest *Dysdercus*

Ricardo Diego Duarte Galharo De Albuquerque^{1*}, Edmundo Arturo Venegas-Casanova², Felipe Rúben Rubio-López²,
Miriam E. Gutiérrez-Ramos³, Iris Melina Alfaro-Beltrán³, Rafael Jara-Aguilar³, Francisco Tito Cerna-Reyes⁴

¹Laboratório de Tecnologia de Produtos Naturais, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Santa Rosa, Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.

²Departamento de Farmacotecnia, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de Trujillo, Trujillo, La Libertad, Peru.

³Departamento de Bioquímica, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de Trujillo, Trujillo, La Libertad, Peru.

⁴Departamento de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Católica de Trujillo Benedicto XVI, Trujillo, La Libertad, Peru.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received on: December 08, 2023

Accepted on: May 29, 2024

Available online: July 20, 2024

Key words:

Insecticidal activity,
Essential oils,
Biodiversity,
Biological control,
Sustainability.

ABSTRACT

The *Dysdercus* genus is identified as a major pest group affecting cotton crops due to feeding damage and staining of fibers. The reliance on synthetic pesticides has led to environmental issues, driving the search for sustainable alternatives like essential oils (EOs), which in turn offer a promising solution by effectively targeting pests while minimizing ecological harm and aligning with modern agricultural sustainability goals. This review examined 19 documents exploring the insecticidal potential of EOs against *Dysdercus* insects. EOs derived from Brazilian coast plants showed significant activity against *Dysdercus peruvianus*, particularly *Pilocarpus spicatus*'s EO, presenting marked efficacy against nymphs. In addition, the work highlights the promising insecticidal activities of *Artemisia annua*, *Boswellia serrata*, and *Ocimum sanctum* EOs against different *Dysdercus* species. Furthermore, the studies on field efficacy, non-target organism toxicity, and innovative nanoemulsions were considered. Overall, the review underscores the EOs potential as sustainable biocontrollers for *Dysdercus* pests in global cotton production.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cotton plantations have long been a cornerstone of global agriculture, contributing significantly to economies and trade networks across the world. However, the challenges posed by pests to these plantations have a substantial impact on both crop yields and the broader economy [1]. Recent data highlights the complex interplay between cotton pests and economic consequences, shedding light on the urgent need for innovative pest management strategies to secure sustainable cotton production and maintain stable economies [2]. Recent data underscores the severity of the economic impact. According to the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station, in 2022, the loss caused by pests in cotton production in the United States, one of the largest producers worldwide, was equal to 4.80%, generating a sum of cost and economic loss equal to \$ 777,281,062 dollars. Such losses ripple through various sectors, from textile manufacturing and trade to employment and local economies [3].

In addition to direct yield losses, pest-related challenges also

escalate production costs. Farmers often resort to increased pesticide applications to curb pest infestations, contributing to rising expenses and potentially harmful environmental consequences. Furthermore, the emergence of pesticide-resistant pest populations compounds the challenge, necessitating further investments in research and pest management strategies to maintain effective control [4]. Cotton cultivation, particularly in regions heavily reliant on this cash crop, forms the backbone of local economies. Recent data from developing countries such as India, China, and parts of Africa illustrate the intricate link between cotton production and economic stability. For instance, a study conducted by the Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations revealed that cotton accounts for over 5% of India's total agricultural output and directly supports millions of smallholder farmers [5]. The economic dynamics of cotton plantations extend beyond the farm gate. Textile industries, processing mills, and export markets all depend on a consistent and quality supply of cotton. Pest-related disruptions can lead to reduced availability, higher prices, and decreased competitiveness in international markets, affecting trade balances and overall economic growth [6].

The genus *Dysdercus* comprises a group of hemipteran insects known for their significant impact as pests in cotton cultivation, which includes *Dysdercus peruvianus* (Figure 2), *Dysdercus koenigii* and *Dysdercus cingulatus*, for example. These insects, commonly referred to as cotton stainers, are notorious for causing

*Corresponding Author:

Ricardo Diego Duarte Galharo De Albuquerque,
Laboratório de Tecnologia de Produtos Naturais, Faculdade de Farmácia,
Universidade Federal Fluminense, P.O.Box 24241-000, Rua Mario Vianna,
523, Santa Rosa, Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.
E-mail: richardcabofrio@gmail.com

substantial economic losses by inflicting damage to cotton crops [1,7,8]. *Dysdercus* species feed on various plant parts, including developing bolls, seeds, and leaves, resulting in reduced yields and compromised fiber quality. The staining caused by their feeding renders cotton fibers unsuitable for textile processing, leading to additional financial setbacks for cotton farmers and industries [9]. Integrated pest management strategies, research on pest biology, and the development of resistant cotton varieties are essential components of efforts to mitigate the damaging effects of *Dysdercus* species on cotton production and uphold the economic viability of this crucial agricultural sector [10,11].

The control of *Dysdercus* species, notorious cotton pests, has historically relied heavily on synthetic pesticides, aiming to mitigate the economic losses inflicted on cotton crops. However, the prolonged and indiscriminate use of these pesticides has led to a host of environmental and ecological problems, including the development of pesticide-resistant pest populations and harm to non-target organisms [12]. In response, the search for sustainable alternatives has gained momentum, with natural products, including essential oils (EOs), emerging as promising candidates [13,14]. EOs with high yields are considered effective and low-cost resources, in addition to being eco-friendly and less susceptible to the development of resistance by pests [15]. In addition to insecticidal use, they can also act as antibacterial and antifungal agents of agricultural and food importance [16-18] and can be applied through suitable methods according to the need, which include spray and mixing with soil components [19,20]. Furthermore, recent biotechnological resources such as the development of nanostructured systems, for example, nanoemulsions and nanocapsules, are also considered promising for the inclusion of EOs as active agents [21,22]. Regarding insecticidal activity, its various components, mainly oxygenated monoterpenes, and phenylpropanoids, can act through different mechanisms of action, such as, for example, inhibition of acetylcholinesterase, activation of chlorine channels, as well as genotoxic and antifeedant effects [23].

EOs derived from various plant sources possess insecticidal properties that can effectively target *Dysdercus* pests while minimizing negative ecological impacts. These natural products offer a sustainable alternative to synthetic pesticides, reducing the risk of resistance development and preserving beneficial organisms within the ecosystem. Moreover, EOs have shown potential in integrated pest management strategies, promoting a holistic approach to pest control that aligns with modern agricultural sustainability goals [24]. As research continues to advance, the integration of natural products like EOs into cotton pest management practices holds promise for maintaining crop productivity while fostering environmentally responsible and resilient agricultural systems.

2. METHODOLOGY

The research was carried out using the combination of “essential oil” and “*Dysdercus*”. The following research databases were used to the review: Science Direct (56 documents), Scopus (264 documents), and Google Scholar (905 documents). According to the relevance order, repeated articles or those that only cited studies on the subject in question were excluded, so that 98 articles had their content analyzed and only studies within the central theme were selected. Then, after careful selection, 19 articles were included and discussed in the two next sections of the review, which excludes the articles described in the

introduction and discussion sections. Table 1 summarizes the selected articles and their main information.

3. EOS WITH ACTIVITY ON *DYSDERCUS* GENUS

3.1. *D. peruvianus*

Esteves *et al.* (2023) conducted a study in which they examined the insecticidal properties of *Persea venosa* leaf EO on 4th instar nymphs. The results revealed an LC₅₀ of 24.45 µg/µL for the pure EO, while the nanoemulsified EO exhibited an LC₅₀ of 28.73 µg/µL. This assessment involved a 20-day topical treatment. Notably, the pure oil, particularly at a concentration of 450 µg/µL, and its primary component, β-caryophyllene, led to 100% mortality within the 1st day. In addition, it's worth mentioning that the EO showed no toxicity towards *Apis mellifera* and *Partamona helleri*, underscoring its selectivity. The major compounds identified were β-caryophyllene (43.78%), caryophyllene oxide (8.92%), α-humulene (8.27%), and α-copaene (5.90%) [25]. A similar investigation by Apolinário *et al.* (2020) focused on the EO extracted from *Pilocarpus spicata* leaves and its impact on 5th instar nymphs. After 22 days of continuous treatment, the LC₅₀ values for topical treatment and paper filter contact were found to be 90 µg/mL and 110.88 µg/cm², respectively. Furthermore, the treatment often resulted in insect malformations and the production of permanent or supernumerary nymphs. The major constituents of the oil were sabinene (32.27%) and sylvestrene (27.26%) [26]. *Ocotea indecora* leaf EO demonstrated significant insecticidal activity against 4th instar nymphs, with an LC₅₀ value of 162.18 µg/insect. The nanoemulsified version, with a 5% oil concentration, induced 90% mortality after 20 days of treatment. Notably, surviving insects proceeded to subsequent instars and adulthood, while deceased insects exhibited characteristic symptoms of anticholinesterase toxicity, as further assessed with an IC₅₀ of 1.37 mg/mL on the acetylcholinesterase enzyme. The primary compound identified was sesquirosefuran (92%) [27]. Costa (2023) explored the insecticidal effects of EOs from two species: *O. indecora* and an unidentified Myrtaceae species. The *O. indecora* oil achieved 100% mortality among 4th instar nymphs within 24 h at a concentration of 62.5 mg/mL. In contrast, the Myrtaceae oil achieved the same mortality rate only after 30 days of topical treatment with the pure oil, with no fatalities within the first 24 h. Both oils interfered with nymph metamorphosis and caused deformations in the insect cuticle structure. Sesquirosefuran (92.2%) was the major compound in *O. indecora* EO [9]. The insecticidal activity of *Zanthoxylum caribaeum* leaf EO was also evaluated on 4th instar nymphs of *D. peruvianus*. The pure oil and a dose of 500 µg/insect induced 100% mortality within 24 h, with an LD₅₀ of 215 µg/insect after 2 days. The oil also disrupted metamorphosis and molting, often in a dose-dependent manner, leading to nymphs with deformed legs, wings, and antennae. Major compounds identified included sylvestrene (11.3%), muurolo-4(14),5-trans-diene (8.4%), isodaucene (8.3%), and α-pinene (7.6%) [28]. Another EO, derived from the Brazilian sandbank plant *Myrciaria floribunda*, exhibited insecticidal effects against *D. peruvianus* adults. It displayed an LD₅₀ equal to 309.64 µg/insect after 24 h and 94.42 µg/insect after 22 days of treatment. The primary constituent of this oil was 1,8-cineole (38.4%) [29]. *Eugenia sulcata* leaf EO, at a concentration of 500 mg/mL, caused 66.7% mortality in 4th instar nymphs within 24 h and 80% after 10 days of treatment using the same direct contact model. β-caryophyllene (24.6%) was the predominant constituent, followed by α-pinene and β-pinene at 17.2% and 10.9%, respectively [30]. Finally, Nunes (2022) explored the insecticidal properties of two additional EOs, specifically from *Xylopiya ochrantha* and *Cymbopogon winterianus*, on 4th instar nymphs. *X. ochrantha*

Table 1: Essential oils with activity on *Dysdercus* spp.

Affected pest	Plant species	Family	Drug plant	Main constituents	Biological activity	References
<i>Dysdercus peruvianus</i>	<i>Persea venosa</i>	Lauraceae	Leaves	β -caryophyllene (43.78%), caryophyllene oxide (8.92%), α -humulene (8.27%), and α -copaene (5.90%)	LC ₅₀ =24.45 μ g/ μ L (oil), LC ₅₀ =28.73 μ g/ μ L (nanoemulsion) against 4th instar nymphae, after 20 days	Esteves <i>et al.</i> , 2023
	<i>Pilocarpus spicatus</i>	Rutaceae		Sabinene (32.27%) and sylvestrene (27.26%)	LC ₅₀ =90 μ g/mL (topical), LC ₅₀ =110.88 μ g/cm ² (direct contact) against 5th instar nymphae, after 22 days; insect malformation	Apolinário <i>et al.</i> , 2020
	<i>Ocotea indecora</i>	Lauraceae		Sesquirosefuran (92%)	LC ₅₀ =162.18 μ g/insect (oil), 5% nanoemulsion caused 90% mortality against 4th instar nymphae, after 20 days; IC50=1.37 mg/mL (oil) on acetylcholinesterase enzyme	Nascimento <i>et al.</i> , 2020
	<i>Myrtaceae spp.</i>	Myrtaceae		NI	62.5 mg/mL oil caused 100 % mortality against 4th instar nymphae, after 24 h; insect malformations	Costa, 2023
	<i>Zanthoxylum caribaeum</i>	Rutaceae		NI	pure oil caused 100% mortality against 4th instar nymphae, after 30 days; insect malformations	
	<i>Zanthoxylum caribaeum</i>	Rutaceae		Sylvestrene (11.3%), muurola-4 (14),5-trans-diene (8.4%), isodaucene (8.3%) and α -Pinene (7.6%)	500 μ g/insect oil caused 100 % mortality against 4th instar nymphae, after 24 h; insect malformations and development interruption	Nogueira <i>et al.</i> , 2020
	<i>Myrciaria floribunda</i>	Myrtaceae		1,8-cineole (38.4%)	LC ₅₀ = 309.64 μ g/insect against adults, after 22 days	Tietbohl <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	<i>Eugenia sulcata</i>			β -caryophyllene (24.6 %), α -pinene (17.2%) and β -pinene (10.9%)	500 mg/mL oil caused 66.7 % mortality against 4 th instar nymphae, after 24 h	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	<i>Xylopi ochrantha</i>	Annonaceae		Germacrene D (17.8%) and bicyclogermacrene (17.4%)	pure oil caused 88% mortality in 24 h and 100% in 10 days; 5% nanoemulsion caused 18% mortality in 24 h and 100% in 10 days against 4th instar nymphae; insect malformations	Nunes, 2022
	<i>Cymbopogum winterianus</i>	Poaceae		caryophyllene (26.50%) and citronellal (26.02%)	pure oil caused 100% mortality in 24 h against 4 th instar nymphae; insect malformations	
<i>Dysdercus koenigii</i>	<i>Artemisia annua</i>	Asteraceae	Aerial parts	NI	LC ₅₀ =0.48 μ L against 5th instar nymphae, after 48 h; poor ovary development; greater median neurosecretory activity	Rao <i>et al.</i> , 1999
	<i>Callistemon lanceolatus</i>	Myrtaceae	NI	Eugenol (NI)	Inhibition of development in 5 th instar larvae	Katiyar and Srivastava, 1982
	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>		Leaves	NI	35.7% mortality occurred in 15-day old nymphs, exposed during 5 h exposure to oil vapour; weight loss, inhibition of fecundity and egg hatching	Srivastava <i>et al.</i> , 1995
	<i>Acorus calamus</i>	Acoraceae			Inhibition of male fecundity (20 μ L: Total inhibition) Inhibition of female fecundity (100 μ L: Total inhibition) Inhibition of egg hatching and nymphae development; 100% mortality of survivors in 24 h (5 μ L)	Saxena <i>et al.</i> , 1977 Saxena and Mathur, 1976 Saxena and Srivastava, 1971

(Contd...)

Table 1: (Continued).

Affected pest	Plant species	Family	Drug plant	Main constituents	Biological activity	References
<i>Dysdercus similis</i>	<i>Boswellia serrata</i>	Burseraceae	Gum resin	Myrcene, D- α -caryophyllene, β -caryophyllene, limonene, dipentene, α -terpinene, p-cymene, terpinen-4-ol, α -pinene, β -pinene, α -thujene, camphene and bornyl acetate α -pinene (40.32%), β -pinene (10.43%)	1:30 dilution caused histological derangements on male gonads of 5th instar nymphs Pure oil and 1:5 dilution caused 100% mortality; 1:10 to 1:50 caused juvenomimetic effect (93.75 to 61.25%)	Rao and Kaur, 1989 Dennis <i>et al.</i> , 1999
<i>Dysdercus voelkeri</i>	<i>Ocimum sanctum</i>	Lamiaceae	Dry inflorescences	Germacrene D (25%), β -caryophyllene (21.28%), methyl-eugenol (14.25%), eugenol (10.78%), β -elemene (9.78%) and elemol (7.64%)	1 μ L/L concentration caused 100% mortality on three different development nymph stages (II, III and IV) within 24 h; LC ₅₀ =between 0.536 and 0.590 μ L/L; LC ₅₀ for adults=0.627 μ L/L.	Nadio <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	<i>Cymbopogon schoenanthus</i>	Poaceae	Leaves	Piperitone (69,80%) and δ -2-carene (18,48%)	6% concentration (1.8 L/ha) caused 71.40% mortality on adult insects.	Nadio <i>et al.</i> , 2021
<i>Dysdercus cingulatus</i>	<i>Acorus calamus</i>	Acoraceae		NI	Low activity on the egg hatching (circa 15%) and moderate activity adult emergence from eggs and nymphae (circa 50%), using the range dose between 2 and 4 μ L, after 24 h of paper filter contact treatment. The 1 μ L dose caused circa 25% inhibition of adult emergence, whereas the 3 μ L dose led to circa 33 and 43% inhibition for nymphae and egg emergence, respectively	Baloch, 1990

EO induced 88% mortality within 24 h for the pure oil and 100% within 10 days. In contrast, the nanoemulsion of the same oil (5%) led to 18% mortality in 24 h and 100% within 10 days. Meanwhile, *C. winterianus* pure EO resulted in 100% insect mortality within 24 h, and a concentration of 500 μ g/insect caused 86% mortality in the same period. Both EOs affected nymph development inhibited egg viability and led to structural malformations. The major compounds identified were germacrene D (17.8%) and Bicyclogermacrene (17.4%) in *X. ochranta* oil, and caryophyllene (26.50%) and citronellal (26.02%) in *C. winterianus* oil [31].

3.2. *D. koenigii*

Artemisia annua oil exhibited potent insecticidal activity and had notable effects on the development and reproduction of *D. koenigii*. The LD₅₀ for 5th instar nymphs was determined to be 0.48 μ L, 48 h after topical treatment. The surviving nymphs displayed a delayed development of up to 2 days, resulting in intermediates. In addition, the treatment led to a decrease in hemolymph protein concentration and disrupted its electrophoretic protein pattern. Furthermore, adults derived from treated nymphs exhibited underdeveloped ovaries, and there was an observed increase in median neurosecretory activity in the treated insects for up to 6 days into adulthood [32]. Another significant finding was the juvenoid effect of *Callistemon lanceolatus* EO, rich in eugenol, on *D. koenigii*. This effect was observed when the oil was topically applied to the last instar larva [33]. Furthermore, Srivastava *et al.* (1995) conducted a study evaluating the impact of eucalyptus EO vapors on nymphs aged 3–15 days, with exposure lasting from 2 to 5 h. The highest mortality rate (35.7%) occurred among 15-day-old

nymphs after their fifth exposure. In addition, there was a significant reduction in the total postembryonic developmental period of the surviving nymphs, particularly when 10- and 15-day-old nymphs were exposed for 4 or 5 h, respectively. Surviving adults who emerged from this exposure exhibited weight loss, reduced fecundity, and decreased egg hatchability [34]. Furthermore, it was reported that the vapors from *Acorus calamus* leaf EO had a significant downregulating effect on the fecundity of *D. koenigii* males (total inhibition at 20 μ L) and females (total inhibition at 100 μ L). In addition, these vapors strongly inhibited egg hatching and nymph development, resulting in the mortality of all survivors, even when the lower dose of 5 μ L was used, all within a 24-h period [35-37].

3.3. *D. similis*

In the study conducted by Rao and Kaur (1989), they investigated the impact of topically applying a *Boswellia serrata* (Figure 1) gum resin-derived EO on the male gonads of 5th instar nymphs. The EO was administered using a dilution of 1:30 in acetone. Notable histological derangements were observed in the male gonads as a result of this treatment. The EO was found to contain various compounds, including myrcene, D- α -caryophyllene, β -caryophyllene, limonene, dipentene, α -terpinene, p-cymene, terpinen-4-ol, α -pinene, β -pinene, α -thujene, camphene, and bornyl acetate [38]. In addition, a similar study revealed the juvenomimetic activity of the same EO on these nymphs. The percentage of juveniles varied from 93.75% to 61.25%, depending on the concentration range used, which ranged from 1:10 to 1:50 in acetone. Notably, the pure oil and the 1:5 dilution caused 100% mortality. The primary

constituents of the EO were identified as α -pinene (40.32%) and β -pinene (10.43%) [39].

3.4. *D. voelkeri*

The EO from *Ocimum sanctum* dry inflorescences (Figure 1) caused 100% mortality in three different development nymph stages (II, III, and IV) within 24 h, using a 1 μ L/L concentration. The LC₅₀ value found was in a range between 0.536 and 0.590 μ L/L, being similar to the positive control. On the other side, the LC₅₀ value for adults was 0.627 μ L/L. Germacrene D (25%), β -caryophyllene (21.28%), methyl-eugenol (14.25%), eugenol (10.78%), β -elemene (9.78%), and elemol (7.64%) were the major compounds of the oil [40]. Part of the previous researchers group also showed that the oil/water emulsion of *Cymbopogon schoenanthus* EO (6%; 1.8 L/ha) caused 71.40% mortality in adult insects. Piperitone (69.80%) and δ -2-carène (18.48%) were the major compounds of the oil [41].

3.5. *D. cingulatus*

D. cingulatus is an important cotton pest in Pakistan and attacks other species of *Malvaceae* family. In a study conducted by Baloch (1990), it was described that the EO vapors from *A. calamus*, a national plant species, demonstrated low activity on the egg hatching (circa 15%) and moderate activity on adult emergence from eggs and nymphae (circa 50%), using the range dose between 2 and 4 μ L, after 24 h of paper filter contact treatment. Moreover, the 1 μ L dose caused circa 25% inhibition of adult emergence, whereas the 3 μ L dose led to circa 33 and 43% inhibition for nymphaea and egg emergence, respectively [42].



Figure 1: Example of plants with high activity essential oils against *Dysdercus* spp. From left to right: *Pilocarpus spicatus* (Source: Milton Groppo); *Boswellia serrata* (Dinesh Valke); *Ocimum sanctum*.



Figure 2: *Dysdercus peruvianus* adult (Source: Rob Westerduijn).




Figure 3: Some essential oils major constituents and their probable mechanisms of action on *Dysdercus* insects.

4. DISCUSSION

Overall, 19 documents were found on the insecticidal activity of EOs against insects of the genus *Dysdercus*. Among the indicated studies, most declared the action of EOs from plants found in the sandbank vegetation of the Brazilian coast against the species *D. peruvianus*. The insecticidal activity of these plants has been extended studied against agricultural pests and disease transmitters [43]. Especially, the EO from *P. spicatus* leaves showed marked activity against 5th stage nymphs, with an LC₅₀ equal to 90 μ g/mL, in the topical assay. The hydrocarbon monoterpenes sabinene and sylvestrene were the major compounds of the EO. Sabinene proved to be a substance that can act as a synergistic promoter of other active components in the EO, such as 4-terpineol, also present in this oil, with a composition above 2% of the total identified [26,44]. Furthermore, the hydrocarbon monoterpenes also have nonspecific mechanisms of action such as disruption of the cellular membrane, which can lead to damage to the tissues that are more easily exposed [45].

In addition, the other mentioned EOs also had relevant activity against adult insects or nymphs, so other important data, such as the absence of toxicity in non-target organisms observed for *P. venosa* and the effectiveness of innovative formulations such as nanoemulsions, are important factors to be considered for EOs that did not show activity as high as *P. spicatus*. Nanoemulsions are formulations that have advantages such as better dispersion of oils in an aqueous environment, such as greater stability of actives and increased bioavailability [46].

It also highlighted the insecticidal activities of *A. annua* and *B. serrata* oils against 5th instar nymphs of *D. koenigii* and *D. similis*, respectively, while the EO from *O. sanctum* dry inflorescences showed high efficiency against three stages of *D. voelkeri* nymphs. The composition of this oil is rich in sesquiterpenes, phenylpropanoids, and oxygenated monoterpenes. The insecticidal activity of β -caryophyllene was already seen against different families such as *Spodoptera frugiperda*, *Myzus persicae* and *Aphis gossypii*, so that it caused significant adverse effects on the fertility, as well as on the honeydew excretion frequency and production. Furthermore, β -caryophyllene inhibited the activities of esterases, oxidases, and glutathione S-transferases [47-49]. Among

the main phenylpropanoids (aromatic terpenes) are found the eugenol and methyl eugenol, which in turn possess relevant insecticidal activities against different pests [50,51]. Moreover, aromatic terpenes can act through different mechanisms, including ligand-gated chloride channels enhancing in insect nervous system and octopamine receptors blocking [52]. In addition, oxygenated monoterpenes, as the linalool, are related to higher biological activity in the insect nervous system, due to the presence of a strong electronegative heteroatom (oxygen), which causes specific interactions with enzymes and receptors [53]. On the other hand, the demonstration of insecticidal activity in the field was also important, as described for the oil of *C. schoenanthus* against *D. voelkeri*. This study validates the practical performance of the use of this product as an insecticide, which, combined with the economic practicality and ease of oil obtaining, presents itself as a real candidate for a natural biological regulator.

In addition to all the factors discussed above, effects on development, metamorphosis, feeding, and laying/hatching of eggs must also be taken into account. Since insect mortality is not always fully achieved, these effects are important for pest control, as they prevent the healthy development or reproduction of these insects, reducing the loss of agricultural products [54,55].

In conclusion, the presented and discussed studies were channeled in this review towards an organized and integrated view of their meanings, to inform the importance of EOs as potential biocontrollers of different *Dysdercus* species (Figure 3), so that combined with the biotechnological advances cited in some studies, such as incorporation into nanostructured systems for example nanoemulsions, can promote the use of more sustainable and efficient methods for controlling pests that affect cotton production in several countries around the world, so that Despite these findings, further detailed studies on chemical standardization of EOs, development of suitable formulations and cost-efficiency evaluation must be carried out.

5. CONCLUSION

The review highlights the role of EOs as promising biocontrol agents against *Dysdercus* insects in cotton plantations. Diverse oils, notably *P. spicatus*, *A. annua*, *B. serrata*, and *O. sanctum*, exhibit relevant insecticidal activity due to compounds such as monoterpenes and phenylpropanoids, which in turn have noted insecticide mechanisms, such as membrane cell disruption, hormonal interference, and nervous system toxicity. Moreover, practical field applications and consideration of developmental effects on pests further emphasize the potential of EOs for sustainable pest management, offering a viable and sustainable solution for global cotton production challenges.

6. AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors made substantial contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; agreed to submit to the current journal; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All the authors are eligible to be an author as per the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) requirements/guidelines.

7. FUNDING

There is no funding for this article.

8. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors report no financial or any other conflicts of interest in this work.

9. ETHICAL APPROVALS

This study does not involve experiments on animals or human subjects.

10. DATA AVAILABILITY

All the data is available with the authors and shall be provided upon request.

10. USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGY

The authors declares that they have not used artificial intelligence (AI)-tools for writing and editing of the manuscript, and no images were manipulated using AI.

11. PUBLISHER'S NOTE

This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

REFERENCES

1. Razaq M, Mensah R, Athar HU. Insect pest management in cotton. In: Cotton Production. Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.; 2019. p. 85-107.
2. Chi BJ, Zhang DM, Dong HZ. Control of cotton pests and diseases by intercropping: A review. *J Integr Agric* 2021;20:3089-100.
3. MSU (Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station). Cotton Insect Losses; 2022. Available from: <https://www.biochemistry.msstate.edu/resources/pdf/2022/table31.pdf>. [Last accessed on 2023 Dec 10].
4. Jabran K, Ul-Allah S, Chauhan BS, Bakhsh A. An introduction to global production trends and uses, history and evolution, and genetic and biotechnological improvements in cotton. In: Cotton Production. Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.; 2019. p. 1-22.
5. Wardhan H. Agricultural Value Chains in India: Ensuring Competitiveness, Inclusiveness, Sustainability, Scalability, and Improved Finance. Germany: Springer; 2022. p. 4-281.
6. Khan MA, Wahid A, Ahmad M, Tahir MT, Ahmed M, Ahmad S, *et al.* World cotton production and consumption: An overview. In: Cotton Production and Uses: Agronomy, Crop Protection, and Postharvest Technologies. Singapore: Springer; 2020a. p. 1-7.
7. Karar H, Bashir MA, Haider M, Haider N, Hassan M, HashemM, *et al.* Ecological impact on development of hemipterous bug (*Dysdercus koenigii*) (*Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae*) and boll rot disease of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) grown in the diversified field. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 2021;28:3957-64.
8. Khan WA, Qamar SU, Ahmad JN, Calma ML, Ullah A. Phylogenetic analysis of red cotton bug species (*Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae*) in Punjab, Pakistan. *Acta Entomol Serbica* 2020b;25:1-11.
9. Costa MG. Estudo da Atividade dos Óleos Essenciais de *Ocotea elegans* (*Lauraceae*) e de uma *Myrtaceae* nos *Hemiptera Oncopeltus fasciatus* (*Lygaeidae*) e *Dysdercus peruvianus* (*Pyrrhocoridae*). Master Thesis. Universidade Federal Fluminense; 2023.
10. Hussain M, Kacho NF, Mohan S, Mir AH. Potential control agents against red cotton bug *Dysdercus koenigii*-a review. *Indian J Entomol* 2021;83:1-8.
11. Montero-Peña JM. Aplicación del Espectro Visible Para el Control de la Plaga del Arrebiatado (*Dysdercus peruvianus* Guerin) en el

- Cultivo de Algodón-Piura. PhD Thesis. Universidad Nacional de Piura; 2021.
12. Saeed R, Abbas N, Hafez AM. Biological fitness costs in emamectin benzoate-resistant strains of *Dysdercus koenigii*. *Entomol Gen* 2021;41:267-78.
 13. Singh-Gupta S, Magdum S, Jawale CS. Acute toxicity of menadione on DNA content in the gonads of *Dysdercus cingulatus*. *Trends Life Sci* 2020;9:1-4.
 14. Shahzad A, Khan MA, Ashfaq M, Ahmed JN, Zahid M, Mustafa FU, *et al.* Comparative effect of botanical extracts and synthetic pesticides against *Dysdercus cingulatus* F. and *Amrasca biguttula* I. in Okra. *J Entomol Zool Stud* 2019;7:1291-5.
 15. Chaudhari AK, Singh VK, Kedia A, Das S, Dubey NK. Essential oils and their bioactive compounds as eco-friendly novel green pesticides for management of storage insect pests: Prospects and retrospects. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 2021;28:18918-40.
 16. Wang J, Zhao F, Huang J, Li Q, Yang Q, Ju J. Application of essential oils as slow-release antimicrobial agents in food preservation: Preparation strategies, release mechanisms and application cases. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2023; 18:1-26.
 17. Wu H, Zhao F, Li Q, Huang J, Ju J. Antifungal mechanism of essential oil against foodborne fungi and its application in the preservation of baked food. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2022;120:1-13.
 18. Ju J, Guo Y, Cheng Y, Yaoc W. Analysis of the synergistic antifungal mechanism of small molecular combinations of essential oils at the molecular level. *Ind Crops Prod* 2022;188:115612.
 19. Isman MB. Commercial development of plant essential oils and their constituents as active ingredients in bioinsecticides. *Phytochem Rev* 2020;19:235-41.
 20. Shaltiel-Harpaz L, Kreimer T, Dudai N, Kaspi R, Ben-Yakir D, Rytwo G. Sepiolite-rosemary oil combination as an environmentally oriented insecticide. *Appl Clay Sci* 2023;234:106838.
 21. Singh IR, Pulikkal AK. Preparation, stability and biological activity of essential oil-based nano emulsions: A comprehensive review. *OpenNano* 2022;8:100066.
 22. Khoobdel M, Ahsaei SM, Farzaneh M. Insecticidal activity of polycaprolactone nanocapsules loaded with *Rosmarinus officinalis* essential oil in *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). *Entomol Res* 2017;47:175-84.
 23. Arshad Z, Hanif MA, Qadri RW, Khan MM, Babarinde A, Omisore GO, *et al.* Role of essential oils in plant diseases protection: A review. *Int J Chem Biochem Sci* 2014;6:11-7.
 24. Gupta I, Singh R, Muthusamy S, Sharma M, Grewal K, Singh HP, *et al.* Plant essential oils as biopesticides: Applications, mechanisms, innovations, and constraints. *Plants (Basel)* 2023;12:2916.
 25. Esteves RS, Apolinário R, Machado FP, Folly D, Viana VC, Soares AP, *et al.* Insecticidal activity evaluation of *Persea venosa* Nees & Mart. essential oil and its nanoemulsion against the cotton stainer bug *Dysdercus peruvianus* (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae) and pollinator bees. *Ind Crops Prod* 2023;194:116348.
 26. Apolinário R, Nogueira J, da Silveira Costa MG, Santos-Mallet J, Santos MG, Azambuja P, *et al.* Insecticidal activity of *Pilocarpus spicatus* Saint-Hilaire (Rutaceae) essential oil against the crop pest *Dysdercus peruvianus* (Guérin-Méneville, 1831) and *Oncopeltus fasciatus* (Dallas, 1852). *Res Soc Dev* 2020;9:e90091110489.
 27. Nascimento LM, Apolinario R, Machado FP, Correa AL, Caldas GR, Ruppelt BM, *et al.* Effects of nanoemulsion and essential oil from the leaves of *Ocotea elegans* against *Dysdercus peruvianus*. *Res Soc Dev* 2020;9:e909108424.
 28. Pacheco JP, Nogueira J, de Miranda RP, Duprat RC, Machado FP, Tietbohl LA, *et al.* Effects of *Zanthoxylum caribaeum* essential oil against cotton bug *Dysdercus peruvianus*. *Res Soc Dev* 2020;9:e197997152.
 29. Tietbohl LA, Barbosa T, Fernandes CP, Santos MG, Machado FP, Santos KT, *et al.* Laboratory evaluation of the effects of essential oil of *Myrciaria floribunda* leaves on the development of *Dysdercus peruvianus* and *Oncopeltus fasciatus*. *Rev Bras Farmacogn* 2014;24:316-21.
 30. Gonzalez MS, Lima BG, Oliveira AF, Nunes DD, Fernandes CP, Santos MG, *et al.* Effects of essential oil from leaves of *Eugenia sulcata* on the development of agricultural pest insects. *Rev Bras Farmacogn* 2014;24:413-8.
 31. Nunes DD. Potencial do Óleo Essencial de *Xylopia ochrantha* Mart. E *Cymbopogon winterianus* Jowitt. Ex Bor Como Inseticidas Botânicos no Controle de *Dysdercus peruvianus* (Guérin-Méneville, 1831) e *Oncopeltus fasciatus* (Dallas, 1852). PhD Thesis. Universidade Federal Fluminense; 2023.
 32. Rao PJ, Kumar KM, Singh S, Subrahmanyam B. Effect of *Artemisia annua* oil on development and reproduction of *Dysdercus koenigii* F. (Hem., Pyrrhocoridae). *J Appl Entomol* 1999;123:315-8.
 33. Katiyar RL, Srivastava KP. An essential oil from Australian bottlebrush, *Callistemon lanceolatus* (Myrtaceae) with juvenoid properties against the red cotton bug, *Dysdercus koenigii* Fabr. (Heteroptera, Pyrrhocoridae). *Entomol* 1982;7:463-8.
 34. Srivastava RK, Krishna SS. Effect of exposure of eggs or first instar nymphs of *Dysdercus koenigii* (F.) (Heteroptera: Pyrrhocoridae) to eucalyptus oil odour on the insect's postembryonic development and/or reproductive potential. *Phytophaga* 1995;4:47-52.
 35. Saxena BP, Tikku K, Koul K. Spermatogenesis in *Dysdercus koenigii* and induced sterility by *Acorus calamus* oil vapours. *Acta Entomol Bohemoslov* 1977;74:381-7.
 36. Saxena BP, Mathur AC. Loss of fecundity in *Dysdercus koenigii* F. due to vapours of *Acorus calamus* L. oil. *Experientia* 1976;32:313-6.
 37. Saxena BP, Srivastava JB. Effect of *Acorus calamus* L. oil vapours on *Dysdercus koenigii* F. *Indian J Exp Biol* 1971;10:391-3.
 38. Rao DR, Kaur A. Effect of the essential oil from the gum oleoresin of *Boswellia serrata* Roxb. On the gonads of male *Dysdercus similis* F. *Curr Sci* 1989;58:822-4.
 39. Dennis TJ, Kumar KA, Srimannarayana G, Raghunathrao D. Juvenomimetic activity of the gumoleoresin of *Boswellia serrata*. *Fitoterapia* 1999;70:308-10.
 40. Nadio NA, Poutouli WP, Laba B, Tozou P, Bokobana ME, Koba K, *et al.* Propriétés insecticides et répulsives de l'huile essentielle d'*Ocimum sanctum* L. envers *Dysdercus voelkeri* schmidt (Heteroptera; Pyrrhocoridae). *Rev CAMES* 2016;3:65-72.
 41. Nadio NA, Bokobana EM, Akantetou KP, Tozou P, Poutouli W, Koba K, *et al.* Efficacy of bioinsecticides based on the essential oil of *Cymbopogon schoenanthus* (L.) Spreng against red bugs (*Dysdercus voelkeri* Schmidt) in cotton cultivation in Togo Afr *J Food Agric Nutr Dev* 2021;21:17727-40.
 42. Baloch AA. Effect of *Acorus calamus* L. oil vapours on egg development, fecundity and fertility of *Dysdercus cingulatus* Fabr. *Ziraat Fak Derg* 1990;6:213-24.
 43. De Albuquerque RD, Rocha L. Essential oils from Carapebus sandbank plants as natural controllers against insect pests of importance in Brazilian public health and agriculture. *Nat Resour Human Health* 2023;3:315-22.
 44. Liu TT, Chao LK, Hong KS, Huang YJ, Yang TS. Composition and insecticidal activity of essential oil of *Bacopa caroliniana* and interactive effects of individual compounds on the activity. *Insects* 2019;11:23.
 45. Yap PS, Yusoff K, Lim SH, Chong CM, Lai KS. Membrane disruption properties of essential oils-a double-edged sword? *Processes* 2021;9:595.
 46. Echeverria J, de Albuquerque RD. Nanoemulsions of essential oils: New tool for control of vector-borne diseases and *in vitro* effects on some parasitic agents. *Medicines (Basel)* 2019;6:42.
 47. Cárdenas-Ortega NC, González-Chávez MM, Figueroa-Brito R,

- Flores-Macías A, Romo-Asunción D, Martínez-González DE, *et al.* Composition of the essential oil of *Salvia ballotiflora* (Lamiaceae) and its insecticidal activity. *Molecules* 2015;20:8048-59.
48. Chohan TA, Chohan TA, Mumtaz MZ, Alam MW, Naseer I, Riaz A, *et al.* Insecticidal potential of α -pinene and β -caryophyllene against *Myzus persicae* and their impacts on gene expression. *Phyton* 2023;92:1943-54.
49. Liu YQ, Xue M, Zhang QC, Zhou FY, Wei JQ. Toxicity of β -caryophyllene from *Vitex negundo* (Lamiales: Verbenaceae) to *Aphis gossypii* glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) and its action mechanism. *Acta Entomol Sin* 2010;53:396-404.
50. Ulanowska M, Olas B. Biological properties and prospects for the application of eugenol-a review. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021;22:3671.
51. Xu HX, Zheng XS, Yang YJ, Tian JC, Lu YH, Tan KH, *et al.* Methyl eugenol bioactivities as a new potential botanical insecticide against major insect pests and their natural enemies on rice (*Oriza sativa*). *Crop Prot* 2015;72:144-9.
52. Tabari MA, Rostami A, Khodashenas A, Maggi F, Petrelli R, Giordani C, *et al.* Acaricidal activity, mode of action, and persistent efficacy of selected essential oils on the poultry red mite (*Dermanyssus gallinae*). *Food Chem Toxicol* 2020;138:111207.
53. Moghaddam M, Mehdizadeh L. Chemistry of essential oils and factors influencing their constituents. In: *Soft Chemistry and Food Fermentation*. Cambridge: Academic Press; 2017. p. 379-419.
54. Passos LC, Ricupero M, Gugliuzzo A, Soares MA, Desneux N, Campolo O, *et al.* Sublethal effects of plant essential oils toward the zoophytophagous mirid *Nesidiocoris tenuis*. *J Pest Sci* 2022;95:1609-19.
55. Shilpa C, Remia KM. Bio-efficacy of microbial, chemical and conventional treatments against *Spodoptera litura* infesting gerbera plants. *Memes Interdiscip Sci J* 2017;1:56-67.

How to cite this article:

De Albuquerque RDDG, Venegas-Casanova EA, Rubio-López FR, Gutiérrez-Ramos ME, Alfaro-Beltrán IM, Jara-Aguilar R, Cerna-Reyes FT. Essential oils as green controllers of the cotton pest *Dysdercus*. *J App Biol Biotech*. 2024;12(5):15-22. DOI: 10.7324/JABB.2024.168696