Antibiotics susceptibility profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from selected hospital and non-hospital fomites
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**ABSTRACT**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a pathogenic microorganism responsible for mild to life threatening infection. It’s one of the most common cause of hospital acquired infections with community acquired *S. aureus* infection likewise widely reported. Emergence of methicillin resistant *S. aureus* represents a significant public health challenge as this limits available treatment options for *S. aureus* infections. Fomites are being increasingly recognised as a significant means of transmission of *S. aureus* infections. In this study we examined the occurrence of *S. aureus* on common hospital and non-hospital fomites and their susceptibility to commonly used antibiotics in the treatment of methicillin resistant *S. aureus* infection. Selected fomites in hospital and non-hospital environments were sampled using a cotton swab technique. Isolates were identified as *S. aureus* using their morphological characteristics on mannitol salt agar and biochemical characteristics. The susceptibility of isolated *S. aureus* to selected antibiotics was determined using a disc diffusion method. More than 50% of sampled fomites were positive for *S. aureus* colonisation. Hospital and non-hospital isolates of *S. aureus* generally showed a similar susceptibility pattern to selected antibiotics except in their susceptibility to vancomycin and lincomycin. Results from this study showed that fomites may present a significant risk of *S. aureus* infection.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

*Staphylococcus aureus* can asymptomatically inhabit the skin and mucous membrane of both human and animals. A carrier rate between 20 - 50% have been variously reported in healthy individuals with the nasal cavity as the most colonised body site and often acting as a reservoir for *S. aureus* infection [1, 2, 3] *S. aureus* is a common human pathogen responsible for various human infections ranging from mild skin infections to invasive life threatening diseases [4]. These include wound infections, bacteraemia and toxin mediated diseases such as toxic shock syndrome [5]. It’s one of the most common hospital acquired pathogens and have also been variously reported as the causative agent for an increasing cases of community acquired infections [6, 7, 8, 9].

Although the anterior nares has been variously described as the principal reservoir of *S. aureus* infections, the importance of fomites as route of transmission of *S. aureus* infection is being increasingly recognised [10,11,12]. With the increasing recognition of the threat pose by community acquired *S. aureus* infection to public health, investigation of *S. aureus* colonisation of community fomites is of immense public health importance. Emergence of *S. aureus* resistance to penicillin and subsequently methicillin and other commonly used antibiotics limits treatment option for staphylococcal infection, thereby posing a significant public health threat. This is more challenging in developing communities, where the use of antibiotics is less regulated, a situation that potentially encourage antibiotics resistance [13,14].

In this study, we examined the occurrence of *S. aureus* on common hospital and non-hospital fomites in a community in Ondo state, south west Nigeria and the susceptibility of the isolates to antibiotics commonly used in the treatment of methicillin resistant *S. aureus*.
2. METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

Common fomites in a public hospital in Akoko area of Ondo state Nigeria as well as fomites in the same community were sampled using sterile swab moisten with sterile distilled water. Fomites sampled in the hospital include stethoscopes, cell phones, door knobs and drip stands while door knobs, cell phones as well as ATM machines were sampled in the community. A total of 20 sampling were each made from hospital and community fomite.

2.2 Isolation and Identification of \textit{S. Aureus}

Samples were immediately transported into the laboratory and inoculated on mannitol salt agar. Inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. Colonies showing the characteristic ability to grow and also ferment mannitol were subjected to Gram staining, catalase and coagulase tests. Gram positive, catalase and coagulase positive cocci isolates were identified as \textit{S. aureus}

2.3 Antibiotics susceptibility test

The susceptibility of \textit{S. aureus} isolates to selected antibiotics was evaluated using disc diffusion method according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) 2010 guidelines [15]. All tests were performed on Mueller-Hinton agar with inoculum standardise to 0.5 McFarland standard.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

More than 50% of both hospital and non-hospital fomites sampled showed \textit{S. aureus} contamination with non-hospital fomites showing a slightly higher percentage of \textit{S. aureus} contamination as shown in Table 1. \textit{S. aureus} isolates from both hospital and non-hospital sources generally showed a similar antibiotics susceptibility profile except in their susceptibility to vancomycin and lincomycin (Table 2).

\textit{S. aureus} was isolated from more than 50% of the fomites sampled in this study. \textit{S. aureus} contamination of fomites have likewise been variously reported [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. The reported percentage of occurrence ranges between 8 – 60% depending on the types of sampled fomites and studied community. The relatively high percentage of \textit{S. aureus} occurrence on both hospital and non-hospital fomites as shown in this study indicates they are potential route of \textit{S. aureus} transmission. This represents a potential risk of staphylococcal infection for immunocompromised, diabetics and individuals with other predisposing factors such as wounds [22, 23, 24]. The ability of fomites to transmit \textit{S. aureus} infection has been demonstrated [11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Total number of isolates tested</th>
<th>Hospital isolates n=11</th>
<th>Non-hospital isolates n=13</th>
<th>Number of resistant isolates</th>
<th>% of resistant isolates</th>
<th>Number of resistant isolates</th>
<th>% of resistant isolates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cephalotin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54.55</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.85</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalexin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>63.64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61.54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>63.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.73</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69.23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>72.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45.46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.73</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>84.62</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincomycin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The antibiotics susceptibility profile of isolated \textit{S. aureus} revealed an interesting pattern. Although the antibiotics susceptibility profiles of isolates from hospital and non-hospital fomites are mostly similar, isolates from non-hospital fomites showed no or relatively lower resistance to vancomycin and lincomycin. This observation may be due to the pattern of use of these antibiotics in the studied community. Vancomycin is usually employed in the treatment of life threatening staphylococcal infections, this may be responsible for the observed low occurrence of vancomycin resistant limited only to the hospital isolates. Clarence et al. (2008) while studying the pattern of antibiotics usage in a city in Nigeria, reported no vancomycin prescription for the three years of the study period [25]. Likewise, Donkor et al. (2012) showed that vancomycin is the least self-prescribed antibiotics by a selected tertiary level student in Ghana [26].

Isolation of vancomycin resistant isolates from hospital sources has also been reported [27, 28]. A resistance of about 10% of the isolates from hospital fomites to vancomycin represent a significant public health importance since it’s usually the drug of last resort in the treatment of methicillin resistant \textit{S. aureus} [29]. Likewise, the percentage of lincomycin resistance isolates was lower in isolates from non-hospital fomites compared to hospital associated isolates. Lincomycin resistance has been previously reported from both hospital and non-hospital sources [30]. These observations underscore the contribution of indiscriminate use of antibiotics to emergence of antibiotic resistant. More than 50% of the isolates from both hospital and non-hospital sources showed resistance to cephalotin, cephalexin, amikacin and erythromycin. This indicates wide spread resistance to these antibiotics in the studied community.
4. CONCLUSION

Finding from this study revealed a wide spread contamination of both hospital and non-hospital fomites by antibiotics resistant strains of S. aureus in the studied community, this further show the need for the implementation of hygiene awareness and decontamination program as well as regulated use of antibiotics as a deliberate public health policy in developing communities.
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